GREENSBORO PLANNING COMMISSION November 20, 2018

The meeting was opened at 7:06 pm.

In attendance: Cheryl Jones, Bill Schaffner, Steve Fleegle

Also in attendance: Debbie Pfeil, Kevin Reichart, Terri Sprouse, Kevin Shearon

Staff attendance: Nicole Armour

Steve Fleegle made a motion to approve the minutes from the October 16, 2018 minutes. Bill Schaffner seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

New Business

New Members

Ms. Jones announced that there are two new members that will be sworn in by council in December. Matthew Nelson will be filling the empty board member seat, and Terri Spouse will be the alternate and stand in when a member is absent from the meetings.

GES - Concept Plan - Kevin Shearon

Mr. Shearon works for DMS, the engineering firm working with the school board for the new Greensboro Elementary School. They are currently in the concept phase and will be coming back to the board a few more times. He stated that the property is currently zoned R-1 and he is requesting a recommendation to the BOA for a special exception for the school. He went on to show the board the preliminary site plan which he feels will be definitely changed at the request of the construction manager that the school board hired. He went on to explain that the old school would come down to allow for more parking and better bus loops. Bill Schaffner motioned to address a letter of favorable recommendation to the BOA to approve a special exception for the new school. Steve Fleegle seconded the motion. All in favor. Motion passed.

Old Business

Comprensive Plan

Debbie Pfeil handed out Discussion Topics, Legislative Regulations, a to-do list, and a Preliminary Checklist (attached) to all of the board members. She felt that this would get the board on the path toward actually working on the comprehensive plan update. She stated that all members should look over this information, and start marking up their comp plans with additions and deletions. Using these handouts is a great way to build the scope of what work they will need to do. Mr. Schaffner asked if they will do workshops. Ms. Jones stated that the workshops will be the first Tuesday of every month, and the regular meetings would remain the third Tuesday of every month. Debbie said that the board should take December – February to go through the check lists and she will come back in March. After she compiles all the information she will be able to come up with a proposal for the Comp Plan as well as a schedule and scope of work. The board discussed which event to use as the "community event" and Debbie stated she would like to see that scheduled for 2020.

Cherrywood Solar

Ms. Jones stated that the Public Hearing was cancelled, but Cherrywood/NextGen met with council. Ms. Jones turned the floor over to Mr. Reichart. He stated that the council agreed to 4.5 Million dollars over 40 years with the first 2 years free, after construction is complete; along with the annexation of the property. Ms. Jones said

she would like to see council make sure that the annexation includes the "wrap-around" parcel that comes around to Cedar.

Riverside Rentals

Ms. Sprouse stated that the building is coming along and there wasn't much to report.

"A Better Maryland"

Ms. Jones stated that she and Mr. Schaffner attended the meeting and it was very informative. She stated that on the Better Maryland website there is a survey and encouraged all members to complete it.

Ms. Jones announced that Steve Fleegle has completed his training.

Mr. Fleegle motioned to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Schaffner seconded the motion. All in favor, motion passed.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 pm.

Respectfully Prepared by Nicole Armour

to trensburg to the tensburg t

TOWN OF GREENSBORO

Comprehensive Plan Scoping Meeting Greensboro Town Hall November 20, 2018 – 7:00 pm

DISCUSSION TOPICS

1. Current Comprehensive Plan Review

- a. What should remain in the Plan (not completed, still relevant, etc.)?
- b. What should be removed (completed, outdated, not relevant, etc.)?

2. Managing Maryland's Growth (Transitioning to the 10-Year Review Cycle)

- a. Planning Legislation
- b. Preliminary Checklist Questions / 10-Year Review Cycle Evaluation Checklist
- c. Table 5 MD Code Requirements for Local Comprehensive Plans

3. Town Data (any since 2010?)

- a. Land Development Activity
- b. Business License Data
- c. Rental License Data
- d. Multi-Family Housing List
- e. Top 10 Employers List
- f. Code Enforcement Violation Data
- g. Police Data (Heat maps?)
- h. Improvement Project Descriptions

4. Community Outreach

- a. Internal S.W.O.T. Analysis
- b. Survey
- c. Community Event

5. Areas For Consideration

- a. Identify New Data / Regulations
- b. Gather Current Plan Input
- c. Discover Available Town Data
- d. Define Intergovernmental Coordination
- e. Create Community Outreach Approach
- f. Determine Number of Meetings
- g. Generate a Realistic Schedule

Table 5: Maryland Code Requirements for Local Comprehensive Plans — Charter and Code Counties

MD Code Reference Additional MD Code Reference (1) A comprehensive plan for a non-charter county or L.U. § 3-102(a) municipality MUST include: L.U. § 3-108 -- Community (a) a community facilities element L.U. § 3-102(a)(1)(i) facilities element. L.U. § 3-109 -- Areas of critical (b) an area of critical state concern element3 L.U. § 3-102(a)(1)(ii) state concern element L.U. § 3-L.U. § 3-110 -- Goals and (c) a goals and objectives element 102(a)(1)(iii) objectives element L.U. § 3-(d) a land use element L.U. § 3-111 -- Land use element 102(a)(1)(iv) L.U. § 3-103 -- Development Non-Charter Counties* & Municipalities (e) a development regulations element L.U. § 3-102(a)(1)(v) regulations element L.U. § 3-L.U. § 3-104 -- Sensitive areas (f) a sensitive areas element 102(a)(1)(vi) <u>element</u> L.U. § 3-L.U. § 3-105 -- Transportation (g) a transportation element 102(a)(1)(vii) element L.U. § 3-L.U. § 3-106 -- Water resources (h) a water resources element 102(a)(1)(viii) element (i) a mineral resources element, IF current L.U. § 3-107 -- Mineral resources L.U. § 3-102(a)(2) geological information is available element (j) for municipalities only, a municipal growth L.U. § 3-112 -- Municipal growth L.U. § 3-102(a)(3) element element (h) for counties only if located on tidal waters, a L.U. § 3-102(a)(4) L.U. § 3-113 -- Fisheries element fisheries element (2) A comprehensive plan for a non-charter county or L.U. § 3-102(b) municipality MAY include: (a) a community renewal element L.U. § 3-102(b)(2)(i) (b) a conservation element L.U. § 3-102(b)(2)(ii) L.U. § 3-(c) a flood control element 102(b)(2)(iii) L.U. § 3-(d) a housing element 102(b)(2)(iv) (e) a natural resources element L.U. § 3-102(b)(2)(v) L.U. § 3-(f) a pollution control element 102(b)(2)(vi) (g) information concerning the general location and L.U. § 3extent of public utilities 102(b)(2)(vii) L.U. § 3-For PPA requirements, see § 2-518 (h) a priority preservation area (PPA) element 102(b)(2)(viii) of the Agriculture Article (3) Visions -- A local jurisdiction SHALL through the L.U. § 1-201 -- The 12 Planning comprehensive plan implement the 12 planning L.U. § 3-201(c) **Visions** visions established in L.U. § 1-201 (4) Growth Tiers -- If the local jurisdiction has adopted growth tiers in accordance with L.U. § 1-L.U. § 1-509 502, the growth tiers must be incorporated into the jurisdiction's comprehensive plan

³ The Area of Critical State Concern element is only required for areas that have been designated as an Area of Critical State Concern. November, 2015 Page 27

B. Preliminary Checklist Questions

There are a couple of preliminary questions that you should answer before you begin the Comprehensive Plan 10-Year Review Cycle Evaluation Checklist in Section C.

| (1) | What is the adoption date (year) of your jurisdiction includes any comprehensive plan amendments geographic section or division plans, list each) | , community plans, sector plans, or | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | The adoption date is necessary to determine he last comprehensive plan update. The adoption applicable, a growth tier map may need to be The adoption date will also be used to determine date may be different than the date that appear Adoption dates are included in Table 2: Target Schedule 2015-2033 — Counties, or Table 3: Review Schedule 2015-2033 — Municipalities. dates are accurately reflected. | n date will also help to determine when, if incorporated into the comprehensive plan. The adoption ars on the comprehensive plan cover. It Comprehensive Plan Report and Review Target Comprehensive Plan Report and | |
| (2) | When was the last planning commission or box | ard review of the comprehensive plan? | |
| | | many years have passed since the last review xt review must be completed. The scope and | |
| (3) | Did the review include the entire comprehensive | ve plan, or individual elements? | |
| | If the review only included portions of the comboard is responsible for reviewing all elements review cycle. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| (4) | Is all land within the boundaries of the jurisdic | tion in the Priority Funding Area (PFA)? | |
| | | Y □ N □ | |
| | If the answer to this question is yes, then the to be established in the comprehensive plan. | local land use percentage goal does not need | |
| | If the answer to this question is no, and the ar jurisdiction must establish a local percentage under Land Use Article Section 1-208(2) to in located inside the PFAs and decrease the per residential units) located outside the PFAs. It is included in the comprehensive plan with associ | goal to achieve the statewide land use goal, crease the current percentage of growth centage of growth (new lots and new is recommended this percentage goal be | |
| (5) | Does your comprehensive plan include the requ | uired elements?Y 🔲 N 🔲 | |
| | For non-charter counties and municipalities, the comprehensive plan must include the following elements, pursuant to <u>Land Use Article</u> Sections <u>3-102</u> , <u>3-103</u> , <u>3-104</u> , <u>3-105</u> , and <u>3-106</u> : | | |
| | Community Facilities element | ☐ Transportation element | |
| | ☐ Area of Critical State Concern element* | ☐ Water Resources element | |

Managing Maryland's Growth — Transitioning to the Comprehensive Plan 10-Year Review Cycle

Having answered the questions in this preliminary checklist of basic requirements, you should have some indication if your comprehensive plan needs an update.

 ${\bf Managing\ Maryland's\ Growth -- Transitioning\ to\ the\ Comprehensive\ Plan\ 10-Year\ Review\ Cycle}$

| Have you designated a Historic District, Enterprise Zone, Sustainable Community, Main Street, Art & Entertainment District or other similar district? | (6) | Has your jurisdiction incorporated the latest version of the Critical Area program and criteria? (Review of the Critical Area program is required every 6 years, pursuant to Section 8-1809(g) of the Natural Resources Article.) Do those changes come in conflict with your adopted comprehensive plan? |
|---|------|---|
| Street, Art & Entertainment District or other similar district? | (7) | Have significant areas been designated for preservation or acquired for parks? Y \square N \square |
| enough land available to accommodate projected populations?) | (8) | Have you designated a Historic District, Enterprise Zone, Sustainable Community, Main Street, Art & Entertainment District or other similar district? |
| there been updates to the MPO Plans that affect your jurisdiction's plan? | (9) | Has there been a significant reduction in lands available for development? (e.g. not enough land available to accommodate projected populations?) |
| (12) Have there been changes in the Water and Sewerage Master Plan? Has your jurisdiction identified a problem with available water and sewer capacity that may not accommodate your growth needs for the planning horizon? | (10) | If your jurisdiction is included in a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) region, have there been updates to the MPO Plans that affect your jurisdiction's plan?Y \square N \square |
| identified a problem with available water and sewer capacity that may not accommodate your growth needs for the planning horizon? | (11) | Have there been changes in transportation modes, corridors or infrastructure?Y \square N \square |
| need updating? | (12) | |
| (15) Have there been annexations? If the answer to this question is yes, do your maps/data in the plan reflect them; otherwise an update may be needed? | (13) | Does the information on your capital improvement program within the comprehensive plan need updating? Y \square N \square |
| the plan reflect them; otherwise an update may be needed? | (14) | Have any schools been built or closed? |
| reflect the priorities of your jurisdiction? (17) Have you implemented most of the current comprehensive plan recommendations? (18) Does your comprehensive plan reference Article 66B instead of the Land Use Article? Or does your plan reference a 6-year review cycle instead of a 10-year cycle? | (15) | Have there been annexations? If the answer to this question is yes, do your maps/data in the plan reflect them; otherwise an update may be needed? |
| recommendations? | (16) | Are changes needed to the current comprehensive plan goals, objectives and policies to reflect the priorities of your jurisdiction? |
| does your plan reference a 6-year review cycle instead of a 10-year cycle? Y N (19) Does your comprehensive plan reference census data from the 2000 census, or earlier? | (17) | Have you implemented most of the current comprehensive plan recommendations? $\gamma \ \square \ N \ \square$ |
| or earlier? | (18) | Does your comprehensive plan reference Article 66B instead of the Land Use Article? Or does your plan reference a 6-year review cycle instead of a 10-year cycle? $Y \square N \square$ |
| such as Military Base Realignment (BRAC) or the closure or construction of a major | (19) | Does your comprehensive plan reference census data from the 2000 census, or earlier? |
| | (20) | |

Planning Legislation

1992 Planning Legislation

Economic Growth, Resource Protection, and Planning Act

The 1992 Economic Growth, Resource Protection, and Planning Act articulates the State's growth policy through seven visions (the General Assembly added an eighth vision in 2000) centered on concentrating development in suitable areas, protecting sensitive areas, and establishing funding mechanisms to achieve the visions. The Act also requires local jurisdictions to address these same visions in their comprehensive plans. All local jurisdictions with few exceptions, incorporated these visions into their comprehensive plans on or before July 1, 1997. Under the Act, local governments are required to review, and if necessary, update their plans once every six years...

These are the eight visions from the 1992 Planning Act.

- Development is concentrated in suitable areas.
- Sensitive areas are protected.
- In rural areas, growth is directed to existing population centers and resource areas are protected.
- Stewardship of the Chesapeake Bay and the land is a universal ethic.
- Conservation of resources, including a reduction in resource consumption, is practiced.
- To assure the achievement of items (1) through (5) of this section, economic growth is encouraged and regulatory mechanisms are streamlined.
- Adequate public facilities and infrastructure under the control of the county or municipal corporation are available or planned in areas where growth is to occur.
- Funding mechanisms are addressed to achieve these Visions.

he Economic Growth, Resource Protection, and Planning policy is codified in §5-7A-01 of the State Finance and Procurement Article of the Annotated Code. The visions are codified in §3.06(b) of Land Use Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

1997 Planning Legislation

Priority Funding Areas Act (click heading to expand)

The 1997 Priority Funding Areas Act directs State funding for growth related infrastructure to Priority Funding Areas (PFAs), providing a geographic focus for State investment in growth. PFAs are existing communities and places where local governments want State funding for future growth. Growth-related projects include most State programs that encourage growth and development such as highways, sewer and water construction, economic development assistance, and State leases or construction of new office facilities. The Act legislatively designated certain areas as PFAs - municipalities (as they existed on January 1, 1997), Baltimore Clty, areas inside the Baltimore and Capital Beltways, Department of Housing and Community Development Designated Neighborhoods - and established criteria for locally designated PFAs. The criteria include permitted density, water and sewer availability, and designation as a growth area in the comprehensive plan.

Priority Funding Areas Mapping

Senate Bill 389 from the 1997 regular session of the General Assembly

The PFA Act is codified in §5-7B of the State Finance and Procurement Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

2010 Planning Legislation Sustainable Communities Act

The Sustainable Communities Act of 2010 strengthens reinvestment and revitalization in Maryland's older communities by reinventing an existing rehabilitation tax credit and extending the life of the credit through 2014, simplifying the framework for designated target areas in the Community Legacy (CL) and Neighborhood BusinessWorks (NBW) program by creating "Sustainable Communities", establishing a new transportation focus on older communities, and enhancing the role of the Smart Growth Subcabinet (SGSC) in the revitalization of communities.

House Bill 475 from the 2010 regular session of the General Assembly

The Sustainable Communities Act of 2010 is codified in Md. Code Ann., Econ. Dev. § 5-1304; Md. Code Ann., Hous. & Cmty. Dev. § 6-104, -201 to -213, -301 to -306; Md. Code Ann., SFP § 5A-303; Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 9-1406; Md. Code Ann., Transp. §§ 2-701 to -703, 7-101.

Maryland Sustainable Growth Commission

The Maryland Sustainable Growth Commission continues the vital work started by the Task Force on the Future for Growth and Development. This law transforms the original task force into the Maryland Sustainable Growth Commission by expanding its charge and extending its membership and tenure. The Commission will provide the State with a broad representation of stakeholders who can continue to promote a smart and sustainable growth agenda and to build on the work of the task force. Commission members who represent a region of the State must ave knowledge of smart growth and planning issues.

House Bill 474 from the 2010 regular session of the General Assembly

The Maryland Sustainable Growth Commission is codified in Md. Code Ann., SFP § 5-701 to -707

2012 Planning Legislation Sustainable Growth & Agricultural Preservation Act

The Maryland General Assembly approved the Sustainable Growth & Agricultural Preservation Act of 2012, also known as the septics law, during the 2012 General Assembly session.

As part of its technical assistance responsibility under the law, MDP is providing guidance to local jurisdictions and a web mapping application. The web application provides the key components for local jurisdictions to create their tier map. MDP is also available to help jurisdictions that might need additional assistance.

Senate Bill 236 from the 2012 regular session of the General Assembly

The Sustainable Growth & Agricultural Preservation Act of 2012 is codified in Md. Code Ann., Envir. § 9-206, 9-1110; Md. Code Ann., LU Title 1, Subtitles 5 and §5-104

2013 Planning Legislation

Sustainable Communities Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Designation and Financing

Law

Local Government Annual Reporting Tools

The Maryland Department of Planning has developed annual report templates to help facilitate each local jurisdiction complete their annual report information as required by the General Assembly under Land Use Article §1-207 (basic requirements), §7-104 (adequate facilities reporting requirements), and §1-208 (indicators). We hope you find these templates most useful to supplement your current annual reporting requirements.

We encourage all jurisdictions to utilize these templates as it will provide a uniform data management system across the State and a comparable format to other jurisdictions.